UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL SCOTT, :

Plaintiff : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:11-1790

v. : (JUDGE MANNION)

GEICO GENERAL INSURANCE :

COMPANY,

:

Defendant

:

ORDER

For the reasons discussed in the court's memorandum issued this same day, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 1) The plaintiff's motion *in limine* to preclude settlement discussions that occurred after the arbitration, (Doc. No. 67), is **GRANTED**;
- 2) The defendant's motion *in limine* to preclude the plaintiff's bad faith expert witness, (Doc. No. 39), is **GRANTED**;
- 3) The defendant's motion *in limine* to preclude the plaintiff from introducing testimony, (Doc. No. <u>41</u>), is **GRANTED IN PART** as to the plaintiff's wife's testimony, but is **DENIED** in all other respects;
- 4) The defendant's motion *in limine* to preclude the arbitration award and post-arbitration memorandum, (Doc. No. <u>45</u>), is **GRANTED IN PART** as to the arbitration award and the post-arbitration memorandum and **DENIED IN PART** as to the defendant's pain and suffering valuation of \$219,000;

5) The defendant's motion *in limine* to preclude evidence of claim activity that occurred during and after the arbitration, (Doc. No. <u>67</u>), is **GRANTED**.

S/ Malachy E. Mannion
MALACHY E. MANNION
United States District Judge

Dated: November 15, 2013

O:\Mannion\shared\MEMORANDA - DJ\2011 MEMORANDA\11-1790-02-ORDER.wpd